

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,028	09/16/2003	Yiping Hu	H0002240	H0002240 4493	
	7590 01/03/200 INTERNATIONAL II		EXAMINER		
101 COLUMBIA			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M		
P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN	N, NJ 07962-2245		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1725		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	NTHS	01/03/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	plication No. Applicant(s)					
		10/665,0	28	HU, YIPING				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
			/I. Heinrich	1725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RED CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided part of	S DATE OF T R 1.136(a). In no end riod will apply and valute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION /ent, however, may a reply be tir vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 October 200	06					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)□	☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner						
			accepted or h) Clobied	ted to by the Eva	miner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority ur	der 35 II S C & 110/s)_(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ight phonty un	der 55 0.0.0. 3 119(a)-(u) or (1).				
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
				 ·				
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								
, ape			o, L. Joner					

Application/Control Number: 10/665,028

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,534,745 to Lowney in view of US20040099643A1 to Fabbro et al and in view of USPN 4,220,933 to Kuhn, Jr. in view of USPN 3,749,878 to Sullivan et al and in view of JP07112294A. The instant claims describe main body, inner tip, outer nozzle, and housing components of a laser nozzle. See Figures 1-4 of Lowney. Note, Figure 3 shows the gas out feature comprising plural radially spaced openings. Fabbro et al. describe [0003] a ring nozzle which generates laminar flow of gas radially around the focusing zone. Changing a nozzle having plural radially spaced openings to a ring nozzle would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because both provide a ring shaped laminar flow generated toward a target. Porous shielding covers are well known in the art as shown by Fabbro et al (see Figure 6, element 19). The use of a porous gas shield would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the shield provides protection of the apparatus against debris. Kuhn, Jr. describes (column 3, lines 3-9) well known "removable housing" in a laser apparatus. JP07112294A describes (English Abstract) well known detachably fitting

Application/Control Number: 10/665,028 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

housing elements in a laser nozzle environment. The use of detachable nozzle elements are very well known as described by Sullivan et al (see Figures 2, 3, and 5). The use of a ring nozzle including a detachable housing would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the feature of making divided or integral parts is very well known and 'detachable' provides capabilities such as shorter or lower cost replacement time.

Claims 2-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,534,745 to Lowney in view of US20040099643A1 to Fabbro et al and in view of USPN 4,220,933 to Kuhn, Jr. in view of USPN 3,749,878 to Sullivan et al and in view of JP07112294A as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of USPN 5,477,026 to Buongiorno. Buongiorno shows (Figures 1 and 9) well known water coolant channels built into the laser powder nozzle. The use of well known coolant channels in a laser powder nozzle would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the coolant provides good control of apparatus temperature. Describing the coolant chamber elements as a water jacket cover is merely a change in description and/or shape that does not impart patentability to the instant claimed subject matter over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/665,028

Art Unit: 1725

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,028

Art Unit: 1725

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

Samuel M Heimich